UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE: Acetaminophen – ASD-ADHD Products Liability Litigation

Lori Bennett as Guardian for Alexis

Ortiz and Anjelika Ortiz vs. Johnson **This Document Relates To:**

& Johnson Consumer Inc., et al.

Case Name: Bennett et al. v. Johnson & Johnson Consumer

Case No.:

SHORT FORM COMPLAINT

Docket No. 22-md-3043 (DLC)

I. FILING OF SHORT FORM COMPLAINT

Plaintiff(s) hereby file their Complaint by way of (select one):

>	This (aint is	filed	pursu	ant to	Ord	er: D	rirect]	Filing	(DE	238)	. Pla	aintiff(s)
hereby	desi	gnate(s	s)	the	U	nited		State	S	Dist	rict	C	Court	for
the	District o	of Idaho							as	Pla	intiff(s)'	home	venue
("Home	Venue'	'), as t	his cas	se ma	y have	origin	ally	been	filed 1	there	becau	ıse:		
		Mino		purch	nased a	ınd/or ı								Plaintiff- (city),
	X		tiff-mi			n in $\frac{B}{}$	oise							(city),
		•		-		er ever			•					occurred
			ct and											ent of the
	This or the Court via					filed			ar	nd wa	ıs trar			

by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation.

II. PLAINTIFF(S) INFORMATION

Plain	tiff(s) are the following individuals (check all boxes which apply and fill out all
information	for selected Plaintiff(s)):
X Plain	tiff-Mother (name): Lori Bennett
•	State of Residence: ID
-	State of Citizenship: ID
•	Filing Capacity:
	As Guardian, on behalf of Plaintiff Child
	▼ Individually
X Plain	atiff Child #1 (full name, or initials if Plaintiff Child is currently a minor):
Alexi	is Ortiz
•	State of Residence: ID
•	State of Citizenship: ID
•	Year of Birth (yyyy): 2003
-	Injury:
	Autism Spectrum Disorder
	Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder
X Plain	atiff Child #2 (full name, or initials if Plaintiff Child is currently a minor):
Anjel	ika Ortiz
•	State of Residence: ID
-	State of Citizenshin: ID

• Year of Birth (yyyy): 2003
■ Injury:
Autism Spectrum Disorder
Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder
Other Plaintiff(s):
■ Capacity to assert claim(s) (e.g., other parent, guardian, conservator, administrator,
executor):
State of Residence:
■ State of Citizenship:
In the rare instance that Plaintiff(s) include additional Plaintiff(s) who are immediate family members or who solely assert derivative claims, but are not otherwise listed above due to space constraints, please check here and list the additional Plaintiff's name, capacity to assert claims, state of residence, state of citizenship, and pertinent factual and legal claims on a separate sheet of paper.
See attached
In the rare instance that Plaintiff(s) seek(s) to include additional Plaintiff-Children, please check here and list the additional name (or initials, if the Plaintiff Child is currently a minor), state of residence, state of citizenship, year of birth, and injury on a separate sheet of paper.
See attached
III. INCORPORATION OF MASTER COMPLAINT(S)
Plaintiff(s) incorporate by reference the allegations contained in the below indicated First
Amended Master Long Form Complaint(s) and Jury Demand(s) filed in In Re: Acetaminophen -
ASD-ADHD Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. 3043, on July 25, 2023:
The First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against
Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc. (DE 774).

•	Note: Plaintiffs incorporating this First Amended Master Complaint must
	complete Section IV.A in addition to the above sections.

The First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against the Retailer Defendants (DE 773).

• *Note:* Plaintiffs incorporating this First Amended Master Complaint must complete Section IV.B in addition to the above sections.

IV. DEFENDANT(S)

A. Manufacturer Defendant

1. Plaintiff(s) allege claims against the Manufacturer Defendant selected

below:

▼ Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.

Plaintiff Child #1:

Johnson & Jowith Plaintiff		sumer Inc. Pro	oduct(s) Mother	took while pregnant
☐ Tylen		ge Mother too	k <u>Tylenol Regu</u> (mm/yyyy to mn	<u>lar®</u> while pregnant n/yyyy):
			to	
X Tylend	Date ran	ge Mother to		ra Strength® while
	Jan	2003	to Oct	2003
Tylend	Date ran	_while pregnar	ook <u>Tylenol Ex</u>	tra Strength Rapid Child #1 (mm/yyyy
			to	

Date range Mother took Other Product while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
Plaintiff Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc. Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2:
Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc. Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2:
with Plaintiff Child #2:
Tylenol Regular® Date range Mother took Tylenol Regular® while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
to
 Tylenol Extra Strength® ■ Date range Mother took <u>Tylenol Extra Strength®</u> while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
to
Tylenol Extra Strength Rapid Release Gels® Date range Mother took Tylenol Extra Strength Rapid Release® while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
to
Other: Date range Mother took Other Product while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
to
2. Plaintiff(s) hereby adopt(s) and incorporate(s) by reference the First
Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Johnson & Johnson Consumer
Inc. as if fully set forth herein.

3. The following claims and allegations asserted in the *First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.* are herein adopted by Plaintiff-Mother in her own right (*i.e.* not covered by claims asserted on behalf of Plaintiff Child(ren)), Plaintiff Child(ren), and/or Plaintiff-Other (check all applicable boxes below)):

Plaintiff- Mother (Individually)	Plaintiff Child #1	Plaintiff Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child)	Plaintiff-Other (Individually)	Claim/Allegation
×	X	X		Count I: Strict Liability for Failure to Warn
×	X	X		Count II: Strict Liability for Design Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings and Precautions
×	X	X		Count III: Negligence
				Count IV: Negligent Misrepresentation By Omission (Limited to: Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Puerto Rico, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, Washington DC, West Virginia, and Wisconsin)
X	X	X		Count V: Breach of Implied Warranty

4. In checking the box(es) above for which misrepresentation is an element, Plaintiff(s) adopt(s) and incorporate(s) the allegations made in the *First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.* Any additional Plaintiff(s)-specific allegations as to the alleged misrepresentation by omission must be set forth here:

First Amende Johnson Con (Please state	1:25-cv-04343-DLC Document 1 Filed 05/22/25 Page 7 of 24 5. The following claims and allegations asserted are not included in the d Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Johnson & sumer Inc. and are herein added to Plaintiffs' Short Form Complaint additional claims and the factual and legal basis for them below or on a separate space is needed.
В.	Retailer Defendant(s):
	1. Plaintiff(s) allege claims against the Retailer Defendants selected below.
By checking	a box against a Retailer Defendant, Plaintiff(s) allege their claims arise out of the
-	n store brands identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint
and Jury Der	nand Against Retailer Defendants or otherwise specify additional products below:
7-]	Eleven, Inc.
	Plaintiff Child #1:
	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
	■ Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	 Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

- Plaintiff Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child):
 - Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):

____to ____

Location(s) where purchased (City, State):

■ Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the *First Amended Master Long Form*

	Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
Big Lots, Inc.	•
Plaintiff C	hild #1:
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
Plaintiff C	hild #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child):
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
-	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child
	#2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

-	Plaintiff C	Child #1:
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	-	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
•	Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with
		Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

C	VS Pharma	ncy, Inc.
•	Plaintiff C	hild #1:
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
		Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
	Plaintiff C	hild #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant
		with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

De	olgencorp, l	LLC
•	Plaintiff C	hild #1:
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
		Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child
		#1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
•	Plaintiff C	hild #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
		e

D	ollar Tree S	Stores, Inc.
•	Plaintiff C	Child #1:
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	-	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
•	Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
		Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
		Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 Two if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

Fa	mily Dolla	r Stores, LLC
•	Plaintiff C	Thild #1:
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	-	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	_	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child
	-	#1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
	Dlointiff (Thild #2 (if many them are Plaintiff Child).
-	Flamini C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
		Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the <i>First Amended Master Long Form</i>
		Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

The	e Kroger (Co.					
	Plaintiff C	hild #1:					
	•	 Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant w Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy): 					
		to					
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):					
	-	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child					
		#1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:					
-	Plaintiff C	hild #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child):					
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):					
		to					
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):					
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:					

Rite Aid Corporation

Plaintiff Child #1:

-	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant v	with
	Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):	

to

Location(s) where purchased (City, State):

Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

Plaintiff Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child):

Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):

____to ____

Location(s) where purchased (City, State):

Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

Sa	feway, Inc.	•
•	Plaintiff C	hild #1:
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
	Plaintiff C	hild #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

's West l	Inc.
Plaintiff C	Thild #1:
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child):
1amuii C	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
	laintiff C

rget Corp	oration
Plaintiff C	Child #1:
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
-	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
-	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
	Plaintiff C

W	algreen Co) .
	Plaintiff C	hild #1:
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
		Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the <i>First Amended Master Long Form</i>
		Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
•	Plaintiff C	hild #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child):
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

X Walmart Inc.

P12	in	tiff	Chi	Ы	#1	

	Jan	2003	to Oct	2003
•	Location Boise, ID Mountain	. ,	hased (City, Stat	e):
•	#1 if no	t identified in	the First Amen	ile pregnant with Plaintiff Child ded Master Long Form Retailer Defendants:
Plaintiff	 Child #2 (if	more than one	Plaintiff Child):	
•	Date ran	ge Mother too	,	Product(s) while pregnant with y):
			to	
•	Location	(s) where purc	hased (City, Stat	e):

2. Plaintiff(s) hereby adopt(s) and incorporate(s) by reference the *First*Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants as if fully set forth herein.

3. The following claims and allegations asserted in the *First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants* are herein adopted by Plaintiff-Mother in her own right (i.e. not covered by claims asserted on behalf of Plaintiff Child(ren)), Plaintiff Child(ren), and/or Plaintiff-Other (check all applicable boxes below):

Plaintiff- Mother (Individually)	Plaintiff Child #1	Plaintiff Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child)	Plaintiff-Other (Individually)	Claim/Allegation
×	×	X		Count I: Strict Liability for Failure to Warn
×	×	X		Count II: Strict Liability for Design Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings and Precautions
×	×	×		Count III: Negligence
				Count IV: Negligent Misrepresentation By Omission (Limited to: Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Puerto Rico, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, Washington DC, West Virginia, and Wisconsin)
X	X	X		Count V: Breach of Implied Warranty

4. In checking the boxes above for which misrepresentation is an element, Plaintiff(s) adopt(s) and incorporate(s) the allegations made in the *First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants*. Any additional Plaintiff(s)-specific allegations as to the alleged misrepresentation must be set forth here:

5. The following claims and allegations asserted are not included in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants and are herein added to Plaintiffs' Short Form Complaint (Please state additional claims and the factual and legal basis for them below or on a separate sheet if more space is needed):

V. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) pray(s) for relief and demand(s) a trial by jury as set forth in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint(s) and Jury Demand(s) filed in *In Re:* Acetaminophen – ASD-ADHD Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. 3043 (S.D.N.Y.), on July 25, 2023.

Dated:	May	22	2025	Respectfully submitted, /S/ Jeffrey S. Grand				
		Attorney Name: Jeffrey S. Grand						
		Attorney Firm: Seeger Weiss LLP.						
		Attorney Address Line 1: 55 Challenger Road						
		Attorney Add Ridgefield Par						
		Telephone:	9736399100					
		Fax: 973-679	-8656					
		Attorney Em	nail: jgrand@seegerv	veiss.com				
		Counsel for I Lori Bennett;	Plaintiff(s): Alexis Ortiz; Anjelik	a Ortiz				